

PROCEDURES FOR PROCESSING OF GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT
APPLICATIONS – COMPARISON

<u>Option</u>	<u>Pros</u>	<u>Cons</u>
Annual Review	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Developers would only have one opportunity in a year to present their projects, which may result in stronger proposals since they would be motivated to be selected. • Staff time on processing applications would be limited to once per year. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Developers and City would have to wait one year to present and consider new projects after the initial review. • There would be no opportunity for Developers to submit additional information to City.
Twice per year plus additional review	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There would be two opportunities for Developers to present and the City to consider new projects. • There would be two interim opportunities for Developers to submit additional information. • The one-year hiatus on reapplications could limit the dilution of proposals. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Developers may not present their best proposals as they would have more opportunities in a year which could result in the dilution of their proposals. • Staff would need to work on processing applications throughout the year given the four possible review and resubmittal times. Additional staffing requirements need to be considered.